Exhibit 182

United States of America ex rel. Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Corp. et al.

Civil Action No. 07-10248-PBS

Exhibit to the September 22, 2009, Supplemental Declaration of James J. Fauci In Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and In Opposition to the Roxane Defendants' Motion For Partial Summary Judgment

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MDL NO. 1456 / CIVIL ACTION NO. 01-12257-PBS

- - - - - - - - x

IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE

WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION

- - - - - - - - - x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, EX REL.

VEN-A-CARE OF THE FLORIDA KEYS, INC.

vs.

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION, ET AL.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 07-10248-PBS

- - - - - - - - - - x

CAPTIONS CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGES

Videotape deposition of MARK SHAFFER, taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Melissa J. Kelly, RPR, CRR, Licensed Shorthand Reporter #00307, and Notary Public within and for the State of Connecticut, held at the Sheraton Danbury Hotel, 18 Old Ridgebury Road, Danbury, Connecticut, on May 21, 2007, at 10:09 a.m.

| | Page 2 |
|----|--|
| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 2 | FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS |
| 3 | MDL NO. 1456 / CIVIL ACTION NO. 01-12257-PBS |
| 4 | x |
| 5 | IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE |
| 6 | WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION |
| 7 | x |
| 8 | THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: |
| 9 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA, EX REL. |
| 10 | VEN-A-CARE |
| 11 | vs. |
| 12 | ABBOTT LABORATORIES INC., ET AL. |
| 13 | CASE NO. 03-CV-11226-PBS |
| 14 | x |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | (CAPTIONS CONTINUED) |

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

- to 300 5 milligrams Roxicodone tablets"; is that
- ² correct?
- A. That's what it says, yes.
- MS. POLLACK: I'm going to mark as
- ⁵ Shaffer 22 a memo to the palliative care sales
- team from Mark Shaffer dated September 8, 2000,
- the one you've been waiting for.
- 8 (Exhibit Shaffer 022: Marked for
- ⁹ identification.)
- BY MS. POLLACK:
- Q. This is a memorandum you sent to your
- team in September of 2000?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. And I notice at the top of the
- memo it says "Boehringer Ingelheim" and then it
- says "Roxane Laboratories"; is that correct?
- A. That's what it says, yes.
- Q. Was there a company at that time known
- as Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane Laboratories?
- A. There was a company, Boehringer
- Ingelheim; and we were with Roxane Laboratories,
- a subsidiary of Boehringer Ingelheim.

Page 242

- Q. And is this the document you were
- mentioning that provides a historical review of
- 3 pharmaceutical pricing and reimbursement issues
- ⁴ along with a review of how retail pharmacies are
- ⁵ reimbursed?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you write this cover letter, memo?
- 8 A. I believe I did. I'm not sure.
- ⁹ Q. Okay. And at that time you indicated:
- "Knowledge of pharmaceutical reimbursement
- practices, especially how they may affect
- pharmacists' acceptance for Roxicodone 15
- milligram and 30 milligram tablets, will play an
- important part in your successful stocking of
- these new strengths in your retail accounts after
- the launch meeting," correct?
- ¹⁷ A. Yes.
- Q. And you ask your staff to read this
- ¹⁹ material.
- A. "Please review the material."
- O. Right.
- Did you write the attached memorandum?

Page 243 No, I did not. Α. 2 Who wrote it? 0. 3 I do not know. I don't remember. Α. 4 Did Mr. Bierl wrote it -- write it? 0. 5 Excuse me. It may have come from the agency that Α. Doug Bierl's with. I don't recall. Did it come from the Roxane marketing department? 10 Object to form. MS. RIVERA: 11 already said he doesn't know where it came from. 12 THE WITNESS: I don't know where it 13 came from. 14 MS. POLLACK: I'm trying to refresh his 15 recollection. 16 THE WITNESS: I know I didn't write it. 17 BY MS. POLLACK: 18 Did you see drafts of the attachment 19 before you mailed it out to your team? 20 Α. I don't recall. 21 Is it likely that you saw drafts? 0. 22 Α. I'm not sure.

- 0. If there had been information in this
- paper that you disagreed with, would you have
- 3 sent it out to your team?
- 4 A. I'm not sure. I may have.
- 5 Q. Do you recall there being any
- information in this material that you disagreed
- ⁷ with?
- ⁸ A. I don't recall the specific content of
- ⁹ this document.
- Q. After having reviewed it with your
- counsel, was your memory refreshed about the
- contents of this document?
- A. Not the specific content, no.
- Q. Do you know if this document went to
- Mr. Heart?
- A. I do not know.
- O. How about Mr. King?
- A. I do not know.
- Q. Okay. And you point out that: "The
- ability to understand reimbursement issues will
- assist you being the sales people in your
- discussions with your retail accounts to ensure a

- successful stocking program." Do you see that on
- the second paragraph?
- MS. RIVERA: Object to form. What --
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure where you're
- ⁵ at again. On the first page?
- 6 BY MS. POLLACK:
- O. No. I'm sorry. The reimbursement
- background document, second paragraph.
- ⁹ A. Yeah, again, I didn't write this, this
- document.
- Q. Did you have an understanding what was
- contained in this document before you sent it to
- your sales team?
- A. From what I recall my understanding
- was, it was an overview, a backgrounder, for-
- your-information-type document, from what I
- recall.
- Q. But they were supposed to be familiar
- with it to discuss it at a workshop at the launch
- meeting, correct?
- A. The memo was sent out for our folks to
- review to understand the background, yes.

- ¹ Q. Do you recall learning anything new
- from this document when you read it?
- A. I don't recall. It's a pretty thorough
- document. I don't recall at the time
- ⁵ specifically, you know, what my feedback was when
- ⁶ I went through this document.
 - Q. But you did read it?
- $\mathtt{A.}$ I believe I read it.
- 9 O. And the first line under "historical
- perspective" says: "During the past decade,
- reimbursement to providers for healthcare
- products and services has grown increasingly
- complex and controversial. In few industries is
- the process for receiving payment for a product
- of services indirect and complicated as it is for
- healthcare services and products."
- Do you agree with those statements?
- A. Again, I'm not going to comment because
- 19 I didn't write this document.
- Q. I'm not asking if you wrote it. I'm
- asking as you sit here today and you read those
- sentences, do you agree with what they say?